

DISTINCT ZEROS OF THE RIEMANN ZETA-FUNCTION

XIAOSHENG WU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove that there are more than 66.036% of zeros of the Riemann zeta-function are distinct.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\zeta(s)$ be the Riemann zeta-function, where $s = \sigma + it$. It is defined for $\sigma > 1$ by

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-s}.$$

The Riemann-von Mangoldt formula [10] states that $N(T)$, the number of non-trivial zeros $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ of $\zeta(s)$ with $0 < \gamma \leq T$, satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} N(T) &= \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi e} + \frac{7}{8} + S(T) + O\left(\frac{1}{T}\right), \\ S(T) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \arg \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + iT\right) \ll \log T. \end{aligned}$$

It is generally believed that all the zeros of $\zeta(s)$ are simple (also distinct), which is known as the Simple Zero Conjecture. We define the number of simple zeros and the number of distinct zeros for the Riemann zeta-function as follows

$$\begin{aligned} N_d(T) &= |\{\rho = \beta + i\gamma : 0 < \gamma \leq T, \zeta(\rho) = 0\}|, \\ N_s(T) &= |\{\rho = \beta + i\gamma : 0 < \gamma \leq T, \zeta(\rho) = 0, \zeta'(\rho) \neq 0\}|. \end{aligned}$$

The Simple Zero Conjecture means $N_d(T) = N_s(T) = N(T)$.

Due to Levinson's method, it is known that more than two-fifths of the zeros are simple (see [2, 4]). In 1995, Farmer [6] introduced a combination method, which is based on proportions of simple zeros of $\xi^{(n)}(s)$, $n \geq 0$, and proved that at least 63.9% of the zeros of the Riemann zeta-function are distinct.

In this paper, by the method introduced in our work [11], we prove that there are more than 66.03% of zeros of the Riemann zeta-function are distinct.

Theorem 1. *For T sufficiently large, we have*

$$N_d(T) \geq 0.66036N(T).$$

In this paper we always assume that T is a large parameter and $L = \log T$. The number of additional zeros of a analytic function f caused by multiplicity means the number of zeros of f counted according to multiplicity minus one.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11M26, 11M06 .

Key words and phrases. distinct zeros; Riemann zeta-function; mollifier.

We note that if ρ is a non-simple zero of $\zeta(s)$, it must be a zero of

$$(1) \quad G(s) = \zeta(s)\psi_1(s) + \zeta'(s)\psi_2(s)$$

with multiplicity reduced by at most one, here $\psi_1(s)$ and $\psi_2(s)$ can be any analytic function. Thus the number of additional zeros of $\zeta(s)$ caused by multiplicity in any region is not more than the number of zeros of $G(s)$ in the same region. We partition the whole plane into the left part ($\text{Re}(s) < 1/2$) and the right part ($\text{Re}(s) \geq 1/2$). Then we will evaluate the number of additional zeros of $\zeta(s)$ caused by multiplicity in each side with different $G(s)$.

To the left side, we choose $G(s) = \xi'(s)$, where

$$\xi(s) = H(s)\zeta(s)$$

with

$$(2) \quad H(s) = 1/2s(s-1)\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2).$$

It is known that for any integer $n \geq 0$ the number of zeros for $\xi^{(n)}(s)$ with $0 < t < T$ is $N(T) + O(\log T)$ (to see [3, 8]). The functional equation for $\zeta(s)$ says that

$$(3) \quad \xi(s) = \xi(1-s).$$

Differentiating both side of the above formula in s we have

$$\xi'(s) = -\xi'(1-s).$$

Hence if ρ is a zero of $\xi'(s)$, so is $1-\rho$. Let $N_{\xi',c}(T)$ be the number of zeros of $\xi'(1/2+it)$ with $0 < t < T$. Then it follows from the symmetry of zeros of $\xi'(s)$ that the number of additional zeros of $\zeta(s)$ in the left side is not more than

$$\frac{1}{2}(N(T) - N_{\xi',c}(T)) + O(\log T).$$

To the right side, we choose $G(s)$ as in (1) with

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_1(s) &= \sum_{n \leq y} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^{s+R/L}} P_1\left(\frac{\log y/n}{\log y}\right), \\ \psi_2(s) &= \frac{1}{L} \sum_{n \leq y} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^{s+R/L}} P_2\left(\frac{\log y/n}{\log y}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where μ is the Mobius function, $y = T^\theta$ with $0 < \theta < 4/7$. Here P_1, P_2 are polynomials with $P_1(0) = P_2(0)$, $P_1(1) = 1$ which will be specified later (to see [2, 5, 9] for any more choice of ψ_i).

Let D be the closed rectangle with vertices $1/2 + it_0$, $3 + it_0$, $1/2 + iT$, $3 + iT$. Here $t_0 \leq 2$ is a given positive constant that is not a ordinate of any zero of $G(s)$ in the region $0 < \text{Re}(s) < 3$, $\text{Im}(s) > 0$. Let $N_G(D)$ denote the zeros of $G(s)$ in D , including zeros on the left boundary.

Since the number of additional zeros of $\zeta(s)$ caused by multiplicity is not more than the number of zeros of $\xi'(s)$ in the left side and not more than $N_G(D)$ in the right side, we may have the following formula about the number of distinct zeros of $\zeta(s)$

$$(5) \quad N_d(T) \geq \frac{1}{2}N(T) + \frac{1}{2}N_{\xi',c}(T) - N_G(D).$$

It is therefore important to give an upper bound for $N_G(D)$ and a lower bound for $N_{\xi',c}(T)$. We will obtain an upper bound for $N_G(D)$ in section 2 and a lower bound better than the known result now for $N_{\xi',c}(T)$ in section 3.

2. UPPER BOUND FOR $N_G(D)$

An upper bound for $N_G(D)$ can be found in a familiar way by applying Littlewood's formula (to see §9.9 of [10]). Let

$$\sigma_0 = 1/2 - R/L,$$

where R is a constant to be specified precisely later. Let D_1 be the closed rectangle with vertices $\sigma_0 + it_0$, $3 + it_0$, $\sigma_0 + iT$, $3 + iT$. Suppose $G(3 + it) \neq 0$. Determine $\arg G(\sigma + iT)$ by continuation left from $3 + iT$ and $\arg G(\sigma + it_0)$ by continuation left from $3 + it_0$. If a zero is reached on the upper edge, use $\lim G(\sigma + iT + i\epsilon)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow +0$. Make horizontal cuts in D_1 from the left side to the zeros of G in D_1 . Applying the Littlewood's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_0}^T \log |G(\sigma_0 + it)| dt - \int_{t_0}^T \log |G(3 + it)| dt \\ & + \int_{\sigma_0}^3 \arg G(\sigma + iT) d\sigma - \int_{\sigma_0}^3 \arg G(\sigma + it_0) d\sigma \\ (6) \quad & = 2\pi \sum_{\rho \in D_1} \text{dist}(\rho), \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{dist}(\rho)$ is the distance of ρ from the left side of D_1 .

Recall the definition of ψ_i for $i = 1, 2$. A direct calculation shows that $\psi_i(s) \ll T$ for $\text{Re}(s) > 0$. Hence $G(s) \ll T^2$ for $\text{Re}(s) > 0$. Then using Jensen's theorem in a familiar way as in §9.4 of [10], we have

$$(7) \quad \int_{\sigma_0}^3 \arg G(\sigma + iT) d\sigma = O(\log T).$$

For t_0 is a given constant, it is easy to see

$$(8) \quad \int_{\sigma_0}^3 \arg G(\sigma + it_0) d\sigma = O(1).$$

By a direct calculation we can see

$$\zeta'(3 + it)\psi_i(3 + it) \ll O(1/\log T).$$

Hence we have from (1) that

$$(9) \quad \int_{t_0}^T \log |G(3 + it)| dt = \int_{t_0}^T \log |\zeta(3 + it)\psi_i(3 + it)| dt + O(T/\log T).$$

Since for $\sigma > 1$

$$\log \zeta(s) = - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s \log n},$$

it follows taking the real part that

$$(10) \quad \int_{t_0}^T \log |\zeta(3+it)| dt \ll 1.$$

For the entire function $\psi_i(s)$, it is easy to see, for $\sigma \geq 3$,

$$|\psi_i(s) - 1| \leq \frac{1}{2^\sigma} + \frac{1}{3^\sigma} + \int_3^\infty \frac{v}{v^\sigma} \leq \frac{1}{2^\sigma} + \frac{5}{2} \frac{1}{3^\sigma} < 2^{1-\sigma}.$$

Therefore, $\log \psi_i(s)$ is analytic for $\sigma \geq 3$. Integrating on the contour $\sigma + iT$, $3 \leq \sigma < \infty$; $3 + it$, $t_0 \leq t \leq T$; $\sigma + iT$, $3 \leq \sigma < \infty$ gives

$$(11) \quad \int_{t_0}^T \log |\psi_1(3+it)| dt \leq \left| \int_{t_0}^T \log \psi_1(3+it) dt \right| \leq 8 \int_3^\infty \frac{d\sigma}{2^\sigma} = O(1).$$

Substituting (10), (11) into (9) we have

$$(12) \quad \int_{t_0}^T \log |G(3+it)| dt \ll T / \log T.$$

Then using (7), (8), (12) in (6), we have

$$\int_{t_0}^T \log |G(\sigma_0 + it)| dt + O(T / \log T) = 2\pi \sum_{\rho \in D} \text{dist}(\rho).$$

Since all the zeros of G in closed rectangle D are at least distance $1/2 - \sigma_0$ from $\sigma = \sigma_0$, it follows that

$$(13) \quad 2\pi(1/2 - \sigma_0)N_G(D) \leq \int_{t_0}^T \log |G(\sigma_0 + it)| dt + O(T / \log T).$$

Using the concavity of the logarithm,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^T \log |G(\sigma_0 + it)| dt &= 1/2 \int_{t_0}^T \log |G(\sigma_0 + it)|^2 dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} T \log \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_{t_0}^T |G(\sigma_0 + it)|^2 dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting $\sigma_0 = 1/2 - R/L$, then we have from (13) that

$$(14) \quad N_G(D) \leq \frac{TL}{4\pi R} \log \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_{t_0}^T |G(\sigma_0 + it)|^2 dt \right).$$

Hence an upper bound for $N_G(D)$ may be obtained by evaluating the mean value integral of G on the σ_0 -line.

To evaluate the mean value integral of G on the σ_0 -line, we need the following two Lemmas.

Lemma 2. Suppose that $\delta > 0$ and $\Delta = T^{1-\delta}$. Then

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_{t_0}^T |G(\sigma_0 + it)|^2 dt = \frac{1}{\Delta \pi^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(t-w)^2 \Delta^{-2}} |G(\sigma_0 + it)|^2 dt + o_\delta(1)$$

uniformly for $T \leq w \leq 2T$.

This lemma follows exactly as in Section 3 of Balasubramanian, Conrey, and Heath-Brown [1].

Lemma 3. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ with $a, b \ll 1$, and put $\alpha = a/L$, $\beta = b/L$ where $L = \log T$. Let $s_0 = 1/2 + iw$ with $T \leq w \leq 2T$. Suppose that $\delta > 0$, $\Delta = T^{1-\delta}$ and that $y = T^\theta$ with $0 < \theta < 4/7$. For $i, j = 1, 2$, let*

$$g(a, b, w, P_1, P_2) = \frac{1}{i\Delta\pi^{1/2}} \int_{(1/2)} e^{(s-s_0)^2\Delta^{-2}} \zeta(s+\alpha)\zeta(1-s+\beta)\psi_1(s-R/L)\psi_2(1-s-R/L)ds,$$

where $(1/2)$ denotes the straight line path from $1/2 - i\infty$ to $1/2 + i\infty$. Then

$$(15) \quad g(a, b, w, P_i, P_j) = \frac{\Sigma(b, a, P_i, P_j) - e^{-a-b}\Sigma(-a, -b, P_i, P_j)}{\theta(a+b)} + o_\delta(1).$$

uniformly in a, b , and w , where

$$(16) \quad \Sigma(a, b, P_i, P_j) = \int_0^1 (P'_i(x) + a\theta P_i(x))(P'_j(x) + b\theta P_j(x))dx.$$

This lemma is the Lemma 2 of Conrey [4].

We now evaluate the mean value integral of G on the σ_0 -line. From Lemma 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T} \int_{t_0}^T |G(\sigma_0 + it)|^2 dt &= \frac{1}{\Delta\pi^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(t-w)^2\Delta^{-2}} |G(\sigma_0 + it)|^2 dt + O_\delta(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{i\Delta\pi^{1/2}} \int_{(1/2)} e^{(s-s_0)^2\Delta^{-2}} G(s-R/L)G(1-s-R/L)ds + o_\delta(1). \end{aligned}$$

Then recalling the definition of G in (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T} \int_{t_0}^T |G(\sigma_0 + it)|^2 dt &= \frac{1}{i\Delta\pi^{1/2}} \int_{(1/2)} e^{(s-s_0)^2\Delta^{-2}} \zeta\psi_1(s-R/L)\zeta\psi_1(1-s-R/L)ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{i\Delta\pi^{1/2}} \int_{(1/2)} e^{(s-s_0)^2\Delta^{-2}} \zeta\psi_1(s-R/L)\zeta'\psi_2(1-s-R/L)ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{i\Delta\pi^{1/2}} \int_{(1/2)} e^{(s-s_0)^2\Delta^{-2}} \zeta'\psi_2(s-R/L)\zeta\psi_1(1-s-R/L)ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{i\Delta\pi^{1/2}} \int_{(1/2)} e^{(s-s_0)^2\Delta^{-2}} \zeta'\psi_2(s-R/L)\zeta'\psi_2(1-s-R/L)ds + o_\delta(1). \end{aligned}$$

We may evaluate every item in the above formula by using Lemma 3. Then

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T} \int_{t_0}^T |G(\sigma_0 + it)|^2 dt &= g(a, b, w, P_1, P_1)\Big|_{a=b=-R} + \partial_b g(a, b, w, P_1, P_2)\Big|_{a=b=-R} \\ &\quad + \partial_a g(a, b, w, P_2, P_1)\Big|_{a=b=-R} + \partial_a \partial_b g(a, b, w, P_2, P_2)\Big|_{a=b=-R} + o_\delta(1). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\theta = 4/7 - \epsilon$, $R = 1.023$,

$$P_1(x) = x - 0.064x(1-x) + 0.112x^2(1-x),$$

$$P_2(x) = 1.305x - 0.276x^2 - 0.025x^3,$$

and making $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (17), we get from (14) that

$$N_G(D) \leq 0.27442N(T).$$

3. ZEROS OF $\xi'(s)$ ON THE CRITICAL LINE (1/2-LINE)

The fact that a positive proportion of zeros for $\xi'(s)$ are on the critical line was first proved by Levinson. Using the method introduced in his work [7], Levinson [8] proved that at least 71% zeros of $\xi'(s)$ are on the critical line.

In 1983, Conrey [3] made a careful study of zeros of $\xi^{(n)}(s)$ on the critical line and proved that at least 81.37% zeros of $\xi'(s)$ are on the critical line, and later, in his work [4] to prove more than two fifths of the zeros of the Riemann zeta-function are on the critical line, he successfully proved that the mollifier with length $\theta = 4/7 - \epsilon$ is available. It is obvious that this result can be used in [3]. Using the mollifier with length $\theta = 4/7 - \epsilon$ in [3], one may obtain that at least 82.402% zeros of $\xi'(s)$ are on the critical line.

We note that if not following the way in [3] but using the Levinson's method generalized by Conrey in [4], we may obtain a better result on this problem. From the functional equation (3) it is easy to see that $\xi^{(n)}(s)$ is real for $s = 1/2 + it$ when n is even and is purely imaginary when n is odd. Let $\delta \neq 0$ be real, g_n , $n \geq 1$, be complex numbers with g_n real if n is even and g_n purely imaginary if n is odd. Now define

$$\eta(s) = (1 - \delta)\xi(s) + \delta\xi'(s)L^{-1} + \sum_{n=1}^N g_n \xi^{(n)}(s)L^{-n}$$

for some fixed N . Then, for $s = 1/2 + it$,

$$\delta\xi'(s) = \text{Im}\eta(s),$$

so that $\xi'(s) = 0$ on $\sigma = 1/2$ if and only if $\text{Im}\eta(s) = 0$. Observe that for every change of π in the argument of $\eta(s)$ it must be the case that $\text{Im}\eta(s)$ has at least one zero. Hence it follows that

$$(18) \quad N_{\xi',c}(T) \geq \frac{1}{\pi} \Delta_C \arg \eta(s),$$

where $\Delta_C \arg$ stands for the variation of the argument as s runs over the critical line from $1/2 + it_0$ to $1/2 + iT$ passing the zeros of $\eta(s)$ from the east side.

To estimate the change in argument of $\eta(s)$ on the critical line, we let $\eta(s) = H(s)V(s)$, where $H(s)$ is defined in (2) and

$$V(s) = (1 - \delta)\zeta(s) + \frac{\delta}{L} \left(\frac{H'}{H}(s)\zeta(s) + \zeta'(s) \right) + \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{g_n}{L^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \frac{H^{(n-k)}(s)}{H(s)} \zeta^{(k)}(s).$$

By the Stirling formula, for $|t| \geq 2$, we have

$$\arg H(1/2 + it) = \frac{t}{2} \log \frac{|t|}{2\pi e} + O(1),$$

and

$$\frac{H^{(m)}}{H}(s) = \left(\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{s}{2\pi}\right)^m (1 + O(1/|t|))$$

for $t \geq 10$, $0 < \sigma < A_1$, here A_1 can be any positive constant. (For a proof of these formulas, see Lemma 1 of [3].) Hence we may have

$$(19) \quad \Delta \arg \eta(1/2 + it) \Big|_{t_0}^T = T \log \frac{T}{2\pi e} + \Delta \arg V(1/2 + it) \Big|_{t_0}^T + O(T)$$

and denote $V(s)$ by

$$V(s) = \left\{ \left(1 - \delta + \delta \left(\frac{\log \frac{s}{2\pi}}{2L} + \frac{1}{L} \frac{d}{ds} \right) Q_0 \left(\frac{\log \frac{s}{2\pi}}{2L} + \frac{1}{L} \frac{d}{ds} \right) \right) \zeta(s) \right\} (1 + O(1/|t|))$$

with

$$Q_0(x) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{g_n}{\delta} x^{n-1}.$$

As in (4), we use the mollifier

$$(20) \quad \psi(s) = \sum_{n \leq y} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^{s+R/L}} P\left(\frac{\log y/n}{\log y}\right),$$

where μ is the Mobius function, $y = T^\theta$ with $0 < \theta < 4/7$. Here P is a polynomial with $P(0) = 0$, $P(1) = 1$ which will be specified later. By the Cauchy's argument principle, it is not difficult to see

$$\left| \Delta \arg V(1/2 + it) \Big|_{t_0}^T \right| = 2\pi N_{\xi'}(D)(1 + o(1)).$$

Here $N_{\xi'}(D)$ denote the number of $\xi'(s)$ in the closed rectangle D defined before. Then, if $Q_0(1/2) = 2$, by applying Jenson's theorem and Littlewood's formula as in section 2, we can show that

$$(21) \quad |\Delta \arg V(1/2 + it) \Big|_{t_0}^T| \leq \frac{L}{R} \int_{t_0}^T \log |V\psi(\sigma_0 + it)| dt (1 + o(1)),$$

where

$$\sigma_0 = 1/2 - R/L,$$

$R \ll 1$ is a positive real number. Here, the reason for requiring the condition $Q_0(1/2) = 2$ is to ensure the integration $\int_{t_0}^T \log |V\psi(3 + it)| dt$ caused by using Littlewood's formula is $O(T/L)$. To evaluate the integral in the right of (21) we use the following useful approximation to $V(s)$,

$$(22) \quad U(s) = \left(1 - \delta + \delta \left(1 + \frac{2}{L} \frac{d}{ds} \right) Q \left(-\frac{1}{L} \frac{d}{ds} \right) \right) \zeta(s),$$

where

$$Q(x) = \frac{1}{2} Q_0(1/2 - x).$$

If restrict $Q(x)$ be real polynomial, then the restriction of g_n and the condition that $Q_0(1/2) = 2$ are equivalent to $Q'(x) = Q'(1 - x)$ and $Q(0) = 1$. It is easy to see that the error caused

by the substitution of V with U can be absorbed by the error term in (21). Hence we have from (18)-(23) that

$$N_{\xi',c}(T) \geq N(T) - \frac{L}{\pi R} \int_{t_0}^T \log |U\psi(\sigma_0 + it)| dt (1 + o(1)) + O(L).$$

Recall that $\sigma_0 = 1/2 - R/L$. From the concavity of the logarithm we have

$$(23) \quad N_{\xi',c}(T) \geq N(T) - \frac{TL}{2\pi R} \log \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_{t_0}^T |U\psi(\sigma_0 + it)|^2 dt \right).$$

By Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 we have

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T} \int_{t_0}^T |U\psi(\sigma_0 + it)|^2 &\sim \left(1 - \delta + \delta(1 + 2\partial_a)Q(-\partial_a)\right) \left(1 - \delta + \delta(1 + 2\partial_b)Q(-\partial_b)\right) \\ &\times \left(\frac{g(b, a) - e^{-a-b}g(-a, -b)}{\theta(a + b)} \right) \Big|_{a=b=-R}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$g(a, b) = \int_0^1 (P'(t) + a\theta P(t))(P'(t) + b\theta P(t)) dt.$$

Let $\theta = 4/7 - \epsilon$, $R = 1.104$, $\delta = 0.869$. Taking

$$P(x) = x - 0.274x(1 - x) - 0.334x^2(1 - x) + 0.005x^3(1 - x),$$

$$Q(x) = 1 - 0.609x - 0.572(x^2/2 - x^3/3) - 4.895(x^3/3 - x^4/2 + x^5/5)$$

into (24) and making $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, then we have by (23) that

$$N_{\xi',c}(T) \geq 0.86957N(T).$$

It is easy to see that the way in this section can also give better numerical results about the proportion of zeros of $\xi^{(n)}(s)$, $n \geq 2$ on the critical line, however one may find that this way is useless when consider simple zeros of $\xi^{(n)}(s)$, $n \geq 1$ on the critical line.

4. COMPLETION OF THE PROOF

We have obtained that

$$N_G(D) \leq 0.27442N(T)$$

in section 2 and

$$N_{\xi',c}(T) \geq 0.86957N(T).$$

in section 3. Then by (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_d(T) &\geq \frac{1}{2}N(T) + \frac{1}{2}N_{\xi',c}(T) - N_G(D) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} + 0.434785 - 0.27442\right)N(T) > 0.66036N(T), \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have proved Theorem 1.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Balasubramanian, J. B. Conrey, and D. R. Heath-Brown, 'Asymptotic mean square of the product of the Riemann zeta-function and a Dirichlet Polynomial,' *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 357 (1985), 161-181.
- [2] H. Bui, J. B. Conrey, and M. Young, 'More than 41% of the zeros of the zeta function are on the critical line,' *Acta Arith.*, 150 (2011), no.1, 35-64.
- [3] J. B. Conrey, 'Zeros of derivatives of the Riemann's ξ -function on the critical line,' *J. Number Theory*, 16 (1983), 49-74.
- [4] J. B. Conrey, 'More than two fifths of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function are on the critical line,' *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 339 (1989), 1-26.
- [5] S. Feng, 'Zeros of the Riemann zeta function on the critical line,' *J. Number Theory*, 132 (4) (2012), 511-542.
- [6] D. Farmer, 'Counting distinct zeros of the Riemann zeta-function,' *Electron. J. Combin.*, 2 (1995), Research Paper 1, approx. 5 pp.
- [7] N. Levinson, 'More than one third of zeros of Riemann's zeta-function are on $\sigma = 1/2$,' *Adv. Math.*, 13 (1974), 383-436.
- [8] N. Levinson, 'Zeros of derivative of Riemann's ξ -function,' *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 80, No.5 (1974), 951-954.
- [9] N. Levinson, 'Deduction of semi-optimal mollifier for obtaining lower bounds for $N_0(T)$ for Riemann's zeta function,' *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 72 (1975), 294-297.
- [10] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of the Riemann zeta-function*. revised by D. R. Heath-Brown, Clarendon Press, Oxford, second edition, 1986.
- [11] X. Wu, 'Distance zeros and simple zeros of Dirichlet L -function,' available at: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1206.1679>.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI 230026, P. R. CHINA.

E-mail address: xswu12@ustc.edu.cn